



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/635,797 08/05/2003		Jean Rapin	10945.105002 (Neuro 101US	2719	
20786 7	590 12/20/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KING & SPA			CORDERO GARCI	CORDERO GARCIA, MARCELA M	
45TH FLOOR	REE STREET, N.E.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	A 30303-1763		1654		

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
. •		10/635,797	RAPIN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Marcela M. Cordero Garcia	1654			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 No.	<u>ovember 2005</u> .				
2a)[_	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5-14,16 and 17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 11-14 and 17 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5-10, 16 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen		· • · · · ·				
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ter No(s)/Mail Date	4)				

Application/Control Number: 10/635,797

Art Unit: 1654

## **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 9, 2005 has been entered.

This Office Action is in response to the reply received on November 9, 2005. Claims 1-2, 5-14 and 16-17 are pending in the application.

Any rejection from the previous office action, which is not restated here, is withdrawn.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-2, 5-14 and 16-17 are presented for examination on the merits.

For the art rejection below, please note that "neurodegenerative disease", as evidenced by Aizenman et al. (US 5,091,391. See e.g., column 3, lines 33-41) means "a physical condition which has caused or may cause degradation of portion of a subject's nervous system and shall expressly include, but not be limited to stroke, Alzheimer's disease [...]". Please also note that Wieloch et al. (US 6,846,641) teaches ischemic stroke as a neurodegenerative disease (See, e.g., column 15, lines 65-67). Therefore,

Application/Control Number: 10/635,797

Art Unit: 1654

the disease "ischemic stroke" reads upon both "postlesional neuronal disease due to ischemia or traumatic impact" and "neurodegenerative disease".

## **Double Patenting**

Claims 1-2, 5-10 and 16 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 and 5-8 of copending Application No. 10/635,805. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are drawn to overlapping subject matter, e.g., "a method for the treatment of a postlesional neuronal disease due to ischemia or tramautic impact" and "a method for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases", both comprising administering an effective amount of a compound of formula (I) to a human patient in need thereof. Please note that treating, e.g., "ischemic stroke" administering the instantly claimed composition of formula (I) reads upon both claimed inventions (see above). Please also note that the term "characterized by nerve cell necrosis" (as in Application '805) is a functional effect of, e.g., ischemic stroke.

Therefore, the instantly claimed method encompasses and/or is encompassed by the claimed method of Application '805.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 1654

## Conclusion

Claims 11-14 are allowed.

Claims 1-2, 5-10 and 16 are rejected.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcela M. Cordero Garcia whose telephone number is (571) 272-2939. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marcela M Cordero Garcia, Ph√D.

Marula M Cordero Jania

Page 4

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1654

MMCG 12/05

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE PRIMARY EXAMINER